```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                        EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                              SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
                                        Case No. 17-20826
 5
     VS.
                                        Hon. Stephen J. Murphy, III
 6
     RONDELL LOWELL COOK,
 7
                       Defendant.
 8
                                 SENTENCING
 9
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
                        United States District Judge
10
                  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
                        231 West Lafayette Boulevard
11
                         Detroit, Michigan 48226
                        Thursday, January 24, 2019
12
     APPEARANCES:
13
14
     For the Plaintiff
                                  MARGARET M. SMITH
     United States of America:
                                  U.S. Attorney's Office
                                  211 W. Fort Street
15
                                  Suite 2001
16
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226
                                  313-226-9135
17
     For the Defendant
                                  STACEY M. STUDNICKI
     Rondell Lowell Cook:
18
                                  Federal Defender Office
                                  613 Abbott
19
                                  Fifth Floor
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226
                                  313-967-5856
20
21
22
23
         To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:
              Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC
24
                          Official Court Reporter
25
                 (313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	<u>Page</u>
3	SENTENCING:
4	Allocution by Defendant Rondell Cook11
5	
6	Comments/Sentence of the Court
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	EXHIBITS
17	Identification Offered Received
18	NONE
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
              Thursday, January 24, 2019
 3
               (Proceedings commenced at 11:40 a.m., all parties
 4
 5
              present)
                          The Court calls Case No. 17-20826, United
 6
              THE CLERK:
     States of America versus Rondell Cook.
 7
              Counsel, please state your appearances for the
 8
 9
     record.
              MS. SMITH: Good morning, Your Honor. Maggie Smith
10
11
     appearing on behalf of the United States, and with me at
     counsel table is Special Agent Jay Ratermann with Homeland
12
     Security Investigations.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
              MR. STUDNICKI: Good morning, Your Honor. Stacey
15
     Studnicki of the Federal Defender Office on behalf of Mr. Cook
16
     who, for the record, is to my left.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Everybody may be
18
     seated.
19
              Let me ask Ms. Smith and Ms. Studnicki to come on up
20
21
     to the microphone. I want to ask a quick question. I received
     a letter, it's undated, but I received it earlier this week or
22
23
     maybe it was last week, but in any event, I asked Mr. Parker to
     send a -- a copy to both of you. It's directly to me.
24
                                                              It's
     from Mr. Cook. I don't think it's on the docket. But the
25
```

```
point or the -- the upshot of the letter is that -- is -- is --
 1
 2
     is that Mr. Cook appears to be dissatisfied with his plea and
     he's under the impression that it was for, quote, 300 months.
 3
               The -- the issue I have is that he pled quilty, and I
 4
     checked the record and I -- I asked him if he understood, you
 5
     know, that this was a permanent decision on his part and that
 6
     if he got a sentence he didn't like, he still was, you know,
 7
 8
     not going to be able to withdraw from his plea based on the
 9
     level of the sentence.
               So I just don't know what to do with this case at
10
11
     this point in light of this letter, and I'd be grateful for any
12
     quidance from the lawyers and I'll start with Ms. Studnicki.
              MR. STUDNICKI: Well, Your Honor, I met with Mr. Cook
13
14
     and he wishes to go forward today and basically withdraw the
15
     letter.
16
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
              MR. STUDNICKI: But I would request that the Court
17
     speak directly to him about the issue so we make a record that
18
     that is really what he wants because I did offer to withdraw,
19
     but he did not express a desire for that. He wants to go
20
21
     forward with sentencing.
              THE COURT: Okay. All righty. Okay. Mr. Cook, you
22
23
     can stay seated but just speak into your mic if you would.
     received your letter. I sent it to the lawyers as I'm
24
25
     obligated to do. Since you are represented, I deal with the
```

Sentencing • Thursday, January 24, 2019

```
lawyers and -- and not with the parties directly. But you
 1
     heard what I said and you heard what Ms. Studnicki said. You
 2
     certainly don't have to withdraw your letter, but do you
 3
     understand that your plea under the rules can't be withdrawn on
 4
     the basis of what the sentence might be? You can -- if you're
 5
     dissatisfied with the plea or dissatisfied with your lawyer,
 6
     you know, we can -- we can talk about that, but if -- if you
 7
 8
     understand now based on your contacts with Ms. Studnicki that
 9
     your -- your plea was a permanent decision and your sentence is
     to be determined here, we can go forward this morning.
10
11
     understand all that?
              DEFENDANT COOK: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. And you still want to have your
13
14
     sentence -- sentence entered this morning, correct?
15
              DEFENDANT COOK: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything from the
     United States?
17
18
                          Well, the only thing that I would ask is
              MS. SMITH:
     that you voir dire the defendant that he is satisfied with Ms.
19
     Studnicki and he wants to go forward with her as his attorney.
20
21
              THE COURT: Okay. I think I covered that.
22
     you're okay with your present lawyer, you don't want to make a
23
     motion to withdraw or anything like that or a motion to have a
     new attorney or anything like that?
24
```

Yes.

DEFENDANT COOK:

25

```
Okay. All right. Very good.
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
              MS. SMITH:
                           Thank you.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Come on up now, Mr. Cook, if you
 3
     would, and we will get started.
 4
              As I believe I mentioned, the defendant pled quilty
 5
     to the production of child pornography. He induced a young
 6
     woman in ninth grade to send nude photos of herself to him via
 7
 8
     cell phone, and he ended up in a hotel room here in Metro
 9
     Detroit with her and that's how he and the evidence of the
     child pornography was discovered.
10
11
               The probation officer wrote a report and calculated
12
     the guidelines at 360 to 600 months. There is a mandatory
     minimum of 25 years or 300 months on the count of conviction.
13
              And the first thing I'd like to ask Mr. Cook is
14
     whether or not you've had an opportunity to thoroughly read
15
     over the Pre-Sentence Report and discuss it with your lawyer.
16
              DEFENDANT COOK:
                               Yes.
17
              THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Studnicki, I
18
     understand there aren't any issues in dispute. The Plea
19
     Agreement, the quideline range calculated by Ms. Grewe are the
20
            I would simply ask you if you have any other disputes,
21
22
     modifications, corrections or other matters with regard only to
23
     the Pre-Sentence Report.
24
              MR. STUDNICKI: No, Your Honor. I did have a chance
25
     to take it out to Milan where Mr. Cook has been housed, and we
```

```
reviewed it in person together and we did not have any
 1
     objections --
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MR. STUDNICKI: -- to the report.
 4
              THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. And I would ask
 5
     the same question of the United States as to the accuracy and
 6
 7
     conclusions of Ms. Grewe in the Pre-Sentence Report.
              MS. SMITH: No objections, Your Honor.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. Then I will find the
     Offense Level to be 40, the Criminal History Category is VI.
10
11
     As I mentioned earlier, the Plea Agreement range and the
     quideline range applicable to the case are the same.
12
                                                            The
     findings of Ms. Grewe factual in her report will be considered
13
14
     the Court's factual findings for purposes of this proceeding
15
     only and I'll make a couple of other conclusions.
16
              Number one, I don't believe that restitution is at
     issue in the case, is it, Ms. -- are you claiming a restitution
17
18
     amount, Ms. Smith?
                         No, Your Honor.
19
              MS. SMITH:
                         Okay. So restitution is not going to be
20
              THE COURT:
21
     an issue.
22
               Is the victim of the crime here today and would she
23
     like to speak to the Court?
              MS. SMITH: She is not here.
24
25
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very good.
                                                          The
```

```
defendant has no ability to pay a fine or the costs of
 1
 2
     incarceration.
                     Those -- those -- those matters will be waived
     as I'm obligated. Under the statute I can't impose a fine on a
 3
     person who can't pay one and Mr. Cook clearly can't.
 4
              Forfeiture, if there was any, has been taken care of
 5
     when the items from the hotel room were seized and presumably
 6
     forfeited by the United States.
 7
              So I think we're ready to go to sentencing, correct,
 8
 9
     Ms. Smith?
              MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Studnicki has the
     right to make any remarks on behalf of her client. There is a
12
     sentence memorandum and motion or request I should say for a
13
     downward variance from the 360-month bottom end of the
14
     quideline range. I read that. It was filed on the 17th of
15
16
     this month. And I am ready to hear any other words or argument
     or allocution you'd like to make at this time, Ms. Studnicki.
17
18
              MR. STUDNICKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
              Your Honor, I believe this case is a tragedy all the
19
     way around, not only for the victim and her parents who are
20
21
     obviously very impacted by -- by this, but also Mr. Cook in
22
     some ways is also -- has had a tragic life, and I did outline
23
     that in my sentencing memorandum, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          Yep.
24
25
              MR. STUDNICKI: He's 29 years old. His grandmother
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 24, 2019
```

```
raised him because his mother was incapable of raising him.
She was addicted to drugs and so he went to live with his
grandmother who basically he saw as his mother his whole life.
His grandmother died last spring while he was incarcerated here
in Michigan.
         Of course, his whole family is from Stamford,
Connecticut which is where he was born and raised. His mother
died of cancer in 2011 but, of course, Mr. Cook was never
really close with her.
         Mr. Cook has three siblings. He had a fourth sibling
who died when Mr. Cook was a child, and according to Mr. Cook
and his siblings, this had a tremendous impact on him and
basically caused him to have quite a few emotional and
behavioral issues as a child.
         Mr. Cook himself has had a lot of problems, Your
Honor, adjusting basically to adulthood and has -- has had,
as -- as the Court is aware, a prior record, which has, of
course, caused him many issues including causing him to be in
Criminal History VI in this case.
         But Mr. Cook has two children; one is age 11,
Christopher, and a daughter, age nine, Jackie.
         He has been diagnosed with anxiety and depression and
he was seeing a therapist in Stamford, Connecticut prior to his
arrest in this case. He did feel that that was helpful to him.
```

So one request we would make to the Court is that the Court

```
provide in its order of sentencing for him to have treatment,
 1
 2
     Your Honor, because he would like to continue to have
     treatment.
 3
              He was working for a temporary service at the time of
 4
     this offense, Your Honor.
 5
              And as I stated, he does want treatment.
 6
              He would like to be designated to a facility in
 7
     Connecticut or near Connecticut so that his family can visit
 8
 9
     him.
              Your Honor, one additional request that I would make
10
11
     of the Court and -- and I think it'd be good to -- to talk
     about at this time. He is concerned for his safety in these
12
     facilities --
13
14
              THE COURT:
                           Yeah, me too.
              MR. STUDNICKI: -- and asked -- yeah. He asked me to
15
16
     request the Court provide some type of a recommendation for
     protective custody.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          Uh-huh.
              MR. STUDNICKI: Whatever the Court could do, he would
19
20
     really appreciate.
21
               THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know what I can do, but
     the last time I did this, I said I wanted a defendant to be
22
23
     kept safe and he wasn't and I was very upset about that, and I
     was also extremely upset with the full lack of communication
24
     from the Bureau of Prisons. I got a letter and that was -- a
25
```

```
very cold letter and that was it, and I read about it first in
 1
 2
     the newspapers. So they need to do a lot better. And I must
     say, it would be a -- seem to be a direct consequence of this
 3
     government shutdown. From what I -- I heard, they were
 4
     understaffed out there the day that that happened. So I think
 5
     whatever you want, you present an order and I'll sign it on
 6
 7
     that, okay?
 8
              MR. STUDNICKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Okay. Mr. Cook, you have the
     right to make any statement you'd like to make as to the
10
11
     appropriate sentence, any other factors or any matters that
     your lawyer hasn't talked about, and I'd be glad to hear from
12
13
     you now. Go right ahead.
14
              DEFENDANT COOK: Let me first apologize for my
     behavior. I know that it was wrong and I'm ready to continue
15
16
     to get the treatment that I've been trying to get and that I
     need --
17
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              DEFENDANT COOK: -- to move forward.
19
                         Okay. All right. Thank you very much,
20
              THE COURT:
21
     sir. All right.
22
              Ms. Smith as well has filed an extensive memorandum;
23
     it was dated the 16th of January. It discusses the 3553(a)
     factors and recommends a sentence of 540 months. I've read
24
25
     everything obviously and I would be very pleased to hear any
```

other arguments or materials the government wants to put on the record now. Go right ahead.

MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. I have some brief remarks that I would like to make.

The United States is seeking a sentence of 45 years in this case. The nature and circumstances of this offense are undoubtedly serious. This 28-year-old man manipulated a 15-year-old girl by making her believe that performing sex acts equated to love, and he did that by relentlessly pursuing her day after day after day. The text messages that we were able to recover averaged out to be 250 per day, all hours of the day and night. And Minor Victim 1 as a child believed him when he trained her to think that sex acts equated to love.

But that wasn't enough for the defendant because as Your Honor knows, this isn't a case about online enticement alone. This defendant knew that this child was vulnerable because she told him; he knew that she was young because she told him; and he knew that she was desperate for love because she told him. And he put this child, who was not even in high school yet, in an impossible situation because she was unequipped with the ability to emotionally handle adult decisions.

In December of 2017 this defendant took a bus from Connecticut where he was on probation for a violent offense, came all the way to Michigan and brought this child to a hotel,

immediately engaging her in sex acts.

This defendant took sexual advantage of this child, forever damaging her emotional landscape, and for that she will never be the same. She must have to deal with the issues of trust. She will have to learn to navigate the psychological harms of learning what it means to love someone, to engage in healthy adult sexual relationships. This adult has undoubtedly caused irreparable damage.

The history and characteristics of this defendant also warrant a high sentence. His criminal history alone sets this defendant apart from many of the child exploitation defendants that come into this court. He has a history of sex offenses, he has a history of using violence to get what he wants, and he has a history of using violence particularly against women.

Now, this defendant has pointed out a number of things in an attempt to mitigate his sentence based on traumatic events that occurred in his childhood, but I would remind this Court that today is not the day to hold those people accountable for his childhood. Today is the day to hold this defendant accountable for the abuse that he perpetrated on Minor Victim 1.

This defendant needs punishment and that punishment must be adequate. It must deter him from further criminal activity. It must punish him and bring justice to this victim.

And it is up to this Court to decide what justice means, but I would suggest that justice means that this victim will be able to recover from this trauma without ever having to look over her shoulder worried that this defendant is going to enter her life again. Justice for this two-time sex offender is 45 years imprisonment.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for those remarks as well.

And I will analyze the 3553(a) factors, state the sentence that I intend to give and then provide both lawyers an opportunity to object.

Well, the offense conduct is extremely serious, about as serious as it can be. The transcripts of the texting that I read in the government's memo clearly indicated a older man with forceful ability to manipulate a younger female to commit various acts, including transmission of the -- of the pornography at issue here. That's probably what's most troubling to me along with the fact that the victim was 15 and there was a 12-year difference in age between the two.

There's no question that the defendant suffered extreme anger, rage, depression and a lack of parenting in light of all the matters that Ms. Studnicki spoke about and which are further laid out in the probation officer's fine report.

```
I'm not going to vary because, number one, I think it would send the wrong message, and number two, I think Ms. --
Ms. Smith has a point about how we deal with these things.

Presumably the guidelines are intended to give a range of sentences that would account for the troubles that Mr. Cook went through.
```

But at the end of the day I've come to believe in these cases that, you know, whether we're talking about 30 years or 25 years and Mr. Cook being out of prison at 54, or 30 years and he being out of prison at 59, or 40 years and he being at -- at -- at 69, there -- there comes a balance between what we're getting in terms of rehabilitation, treatment and the offset in -- in punishment in keeping, yes, this victim but society as a whole safe.

I really do think that a sentence of 30 years at the bottom of the guideline range meets all the criteria under 3553(a). A lengthy and mandatory five-year-plus term of supervised release after that should provide society with some additional assurances that Mr. Cook won't offend again. And it would be my personal hope as well that he follow through on his desire to get help, treatment, move forward and understand what -- what has occurred and his ability to recover from it.

Now, I have not spoken publicly, in fact, I have not spoken at all, about the tragedies of individuals going to prison and being ganged up upon by other violent prisoners and

```
hurt, if not maimed and killed, for committing crimes that other prisoners look down upon. This is not a death sentence and neither the Bureau of Prisons nor their charges have the ability to impose one. And if that sort of thing happens, I think our court and our justice system is going to look quite a bit harder at the job that's being done in our facilities.
```

With that in mind, I -- I -- I do think it's merited, given this individual's complete lack of ties to the community, he's got nobody to talk to out there, the fact that we do have a history, a government shutdown, short staffing, that this individual be kept safe from the time he leaves court here today till the time he gets into his permanent assignment, which I would hope to be at a facility where segregation and sex offense therapy is available, and I don't think I can be any more clear than that.

Therefore, pursuant to the Sentence Reform Act of 1984, the Court, having considered the sentence guidelines and factors contained in 18 USC, Section 3553(a), hereby commits the defendant Rondell Cook to the U.S. Bureau of Prisons to a term of 360 months.

It's further recommended that he be designated to an institution with a comprehensive drug treatment program as well as a sex therapy program, which he has readily stated he's eager to engage in this morning.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Sentencing • Thursday, January 24, 2019
```

```
be placed on a supervised release of -- release term of
84 months; that's seven full years.
         It's further ordered that he pay a special assessment
of a hundred dollars, and that'll be due immediately.
         No fine, costs of incarceration or costs of
supervision for the reasons I said earlier. He has no
financial resources.
         While in custody, the defendant shall participate in
the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program. I am aware of the
requirements of the IFRP and I approve the payment schedules of
the program and hereby order the defendant's compliance with
it.
     That's to pay off the special assessment.
         Restitution's not an issue but mandatory drug testing
will be ordered.
         Now, on supervision, the defendant, Mr. Cook, must
cooperate with the collection of a DNA sample as directed by
his probation officer. That's required under 34 USC, Section
40702.
         While on supervision, he must abide by the standard
conditions adopted by this Court and he also has to comply with
the additional special conditions:
         Number one, compliance with the requirements of the
Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act. That will be
directed by the probation officer and required by the Bureau of
```

Prisons' state Sex Offender Registration agency or -- or

whatever would oversee the collection of that information in the area where he resides, works, is a student or was convicted of this particular offense.

He must successfully complete any sex offender diagnostic evaluations, treatment or counseling programs as directed by probation. Reports pertaining to sex offender assessments and treatments shall be provided to the probation officer. If he has the ability to pay on supervised release, he should pay the cost of diagnostic evaluations, treatment or counseling programs in an amount determined by the probation officer. He has to submit to periodic polygraph testing at the discretion and direction of the probation officer as a means to ensure compliance with the requirements of supervision or treatment.

Now, no violation proceedings should arise solely on the results of a polygraphic examination, but based on Mr. Cook's ability to pay, he might also be required to pay the costs of a polygraph examination in an amount determined by the probation officer as well.

No association with minor children under the age of 18 unless those children are in the presence of a responsible adult. That adult has to be aware of the nature of this current offense and the background that goes with it. Any exception to that has to be run through the probation officer obviously. Now, Mr. Cook may have unsupervised conduct with

his own children at the discretion of the probation officer, but he shall not frequent places where children congregate on a regular basis like schools, playgrounds, toy stores, things of that nature.

The defendant must notify anyone they date or marry with a minor child under the age of 18 of this conviction.

No purchase, selling, viewing, possession of any images in any form or media of depictions of pornography, sexually explicit conduct, child erotica, child nudity, things of that nature. No patronization of any places where such material or entertainment is put forth or available.

Employment must be pre-approved by the Probation

Department. Mr. Cook shall not be employed or participate in any voluntary — volunteer activities that involve contact with minors under the age of 18 or adults with disabilities without prior approval of the probation officer.

All residences must be approved by the Probation Department. The defendant shall not provide care or live in a residence where children under the age of 18 or adults with disabilities also reside without prior approval of the probation officer.

No contact, indirectly or directly, with any victim or witness in this case unless improved -- unless approved by the probation officer.

And finally, I will order participation in the

```
Computer/Internet Monitoring Program administered by Probation.
 1
     He must abide by the agreement that binds his behavior in
 2
     effect at the time he starts his supervision and comply with
 3
     any amendments to it during this term. Due to advances in
 4
 5
     technology, those agreements are updated and revisited as
 6
     necessary.
 7
              Computers, hardware, software, accessory, iPhones,
 8
     all things of this nature have to be accounted for by the
 9
     Probation Office. Accordingly, person, residence, computer and
     vehicle are subject to search upon request by Probation at a
10
11
     reasonable time and manner. Mr. Cook must inform any other
12
     residents of his premises that they may be subject to a search
     under this provision. And he must cooperate with the probation
13
14
     officer to provide access to requested financial information
15
     including billing records about his telephone, cable, Internet,
16
     satellite things of that nature.
               That will be the sentence of the Court. Objections
17
     from the United States?
18
                          I don't have objections but I do have a
19
              MS. SMITH:
20
     couple of housekeeping matters.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll get to those in a minute.
22
              Objections from Ms. Studnicki?
23
              MR. STUDNICKI: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. The sentence that I stated earlier
24
25
     will be imposed. Mr. Cook has signed a waiver of his right to
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
appeal both the sentence and the conviction. Since I did
sentence at the low end of the guideline range, that triggers
the waiver of the right to appeal and those waivers are usually
enforceable. If, Mr. Cook, you don't believe yours is, you may
take that up with the U.S. Court of Appeals directly.
         The defendant is in custody and will be sent through
the care of the marshal back to his facility for the
transmission and ultimate reporting for his offense.
         Both parties have copies of the Pre-Sentence Report.
No corrections will be made but it'll be sent to the Bureau of
Prisons and the Sentencing Commission in the usual course of
business.
         Now would be the time we can talk about your matters,
Ms. Smith. What...
                     Thank you, Your Honor. First off, there
         MS. SMITH:
was a $5,000 special assessment.
         THE COURT: Oh, 5,000, you're right.
                     If the Court finds the defendant's
         MS. SMITH:
indigent, that that special assessment can be waived, and I
would just leave it to the Court to make that decision.
         THE COURT:
                     I think the circumstance of the --
circumstances of this case support waiver. I don't know that I
need to get fully detailed into -- into that, but given
indigency -- I mean Mr. Cook was working at Taco Bell before he
came to Michigan. He has no means and that's not going to get
```

```
paid so I'll waive that. I can't and won't waive the hundred
 1
 2
     dollars, which I didn't intend to do, and that's why I put him
     in the IFRP, all right?
 3
              MS. SMITH:
                           Thank you.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                           Yep.
                           Secondly, the government recommends that
 6
              MS. SMITH:
 7
     the sentence imposed run concurrently to the sentence that has
 8
     been imposed in the Wayne County Circuit Court case.
                                                            If the
 9
     Court decides to run those concurrently, I would just ask that
     the judgment indicate that the sentence in this case will run
10
11
     concurrently to Case No. 18-3667-01.
              THE COURT: We will do that and Probation will supply
12
     ultimately language for the Judgment and Commitment Order. And
13
14
     I think the sentences, given the conduct, undoubtedly should
     run concurrently, and although I have discretion not to do
15
16
     that, I'm not going to double-dip, so to speak, so thank you
     for that as well.
17
                           Finally, because the defendant's been
18
              MS. SMITH:
     sentenced within the terms of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, I
19
     move to dismiss Counts 2, 3 and 4 of the indictment.
20
21
               THE COURT:
                           Those are dismissed without objection,
22
     okay?
23
              MS. SMITH:
                           Thank you.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else from
24
     defense?
25
```

```
MR. STUDNICKI: Your Honor, could the Court recommend
 1
     a facility near Connecticut?
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Yes. I would recommend -- I don't know
 3
     if -- I'm not going to make a specific recommendation. Devon
 4
 5
     is in Massachusetts. It's much closer to Stamford, Connecticut
     than Michigan is. I think having some family support along
 6
 7
     with sex therapy is the most important thing, and I would
 8
     recommend that facility or something near Stamford as a result,
 9
     okay? All right.
              MR. STUDNICKI: Your Honor, can the Court at this
10
11
     point recommend some type of protective custody for Mr. Cook?
              THE COURT: I tried to speak as firmly as I could to
12
     that. Absent an agreed-upon order or a motion -- you know,
13
     this is not the -- the marshal's fault. I'm not even saying
14
     this is -- I -- I don't know what -- what happens out there at
15
16
     Milan, but the Bureau of Prisons is skilled at keeping people
     safe and if protective custody is what's necessary, I'll
17
18
     recommend that certainly. But they just had a killing of an
     individual charged with a sex offense by a violent mob at
19
     Milan, and I would think they've taken all steps necessary to
20
21
     prevent that from happening again. And as I said earlier,
     if -- if -- if they -- if they haven't, then we're going to
22
23
     have a much stronger response to that next time, okay? All
     right.
24
```

Thank you all very much.

25

1	MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MR. STUDNICKI: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE CLERK: Court is now in recess.
4	(Court in recess at 12:15 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 3 appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States 4 Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 5 through 24 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the 6 7 proceedings held in the matter of United States of America vs. 8 Rondell Lowell Cook, Case No. 17-20826, on Thursday, January 9 24, 2019. 10 11 s/Linda M. Cavanagh 12 Linda M. Cavanagh, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Official Court Reporter 13 United States District Court 14 Eastern District of Michigan 15 16 Date: July 1, 2019 17 Detroit, Michigan 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25